

Date Proj. No.: October 14, 1992 04011 - No.2

CONTRACT CHANGE ORDER NO. 1

Albion-Sheridan Township Landfill Site (11-5LAN) Construction of Field Support Area Contract 04011 - No. 2 Albion, MI

Anson Enterprises, Inc. 1949 Olmstead Road Kalamazoo, MI 49001

The following changes are authorized in accordance with Articles 9, 10 and 11 of the GENERAL CONDITIONS:

<u>Item</u>	Description	<u>Unit</u>	Quantity	Unit Price	<u>Increase</u>	<u>Decrease</u>
3	Remobilization and Additional Grading	L.S.	1	\$1,550	\$1,550	
4	Fence Relocation: (a) Fence Post Installation/Removal		1	1,500	1,500	
	(b) Remobilization	L.S.	1	520	520	
	(c) Bulldozer	Hour	16	75	1,200	
	(D) Laborer with Chainsaw	Hour	16	38	608	
	TOTAL				\$5,378	

The Subcontractor and Prime Contractor hereby agree that all fence construction will be paid at the established unit price of \$7.91 per foot for 7-foot chain-link fence without tree removal.

Justification

See attached Change Order Justifications.

Net increase in contract price is \$5,378.00.

A sum of \$5,378.00 is hereby added to the total contract price and the adjusted total contract price to date thereby is \$81,454.00.

The time provided for completion of the contract is hereby extended to October 30, 1992. This document shall become an amendment to the contract and all provisions of the contract shall apply hereto.

CHANGE ORDER JUSTIFICATION

Change Order No. 1 Project No. 04011 - No. 2

Subcontractor: Anson Enterprises, Inc.

Item No. 3 - Remobilization and Additional Grading

1. Why is this change necessary for completion of the work originally contemplated by the Contract?

Negotiation of fence location with property owner and efforts to minimize destruction of large trees delayed flagging of the fence line. This delay caused one remobilization of a bulldozer by the subcontractor. In addition, subcontractor performed additional grading to allow fence construction without damage to large trees.

Date: 10/14/92

2. Why could the need for the proposed work not have been foreseen during preparation of plans and specifications?

U.S. EPA instructed PRIME CONTRACTOR to minimize damage to large trees after design was complete. This required moving fence line onto neighboring property plus additional grading to allow fence construction to occur without affecting large trees.

3. Are there any alternatives available?

No

4. Is this the most economical alternative?

Yes

CHANGE ORDER JUSTIFICATION (cont'd.)

Change Order No. 1

Project No. 04011 - No. 2

Subcontractor: Anson Enterprises, Inc.

Item No. 4 - Fence Relocation

- 1. Why is this change necessary for completion of the work originally contemplated by the Contract?
 - U.S. EPA instructed PRIME CONTRACTOR to negotiate a revised fence location around neighboring property owner's residence to resolve property access issues. The revised location resulted in the removal of fence posts that had already been installed, plus remobilization for additional clearing and bulldozer and laborer time for clearing new fence location.

Date: 10/14/92

2. Why could the need for the proposed work not have been foreseen during preparation of plans and specifications?

The neighboring property owner made demands for partial fence relocation after fence construction had begun. U.S. EPA determined that partial fence relocation was preferable to project delays and legal action against neighboring property owner.

3. Are there any alternatives available?

No

4. Is this the most economical alternative?

Yes